## CHARGE CONFERENCE

	CHARGE CONFERENCE 250
1	THE COURT: Yes. It should be what, not with okay.
2	MR. FRANCOLLA: Then in the full paragraph after the
3	four subsection, crimes.
4	THE COURT: It starts: The third and final point.
5	MR. FRANCOLLA: Yes. The third line towards the
6	middle it should be, rights of the person accused.
7	THE COURT: It's of the, yes, it's a typo.
8	MR. FRANCOLLA: Nothing else.
9	THE COURT: That's on page eight, nothing else.
10	Page nine.
11	MR. LUMER: Nothing for plaintiff.
12	MR. FRANCOLLA: Nor defendants.
13	THE COURT: Page ten.
14	MR. LUMER: One objection, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Go ahead.
16	MR. LUMER: Under compensatory damages the third and
17	final paragraph is section B, refers to or provides for
18	nominal damages. Our view here is, in this case certain
19	things are undisputed. It's undisputed Mr. Herrera was
20	incarcerated as a result of the prosecution for a period of
21	time. Under current City of New York and battery of other
22	cases, I don't see how a jury can find that he was maliciously
23	prosecuted and suffered no injury.
24	MR. FRANCOLLA: I understand counsel's point. I
25	think I've certainly had cases where nominal damages have not

## CHARGE CONFERENCE

251

- 1 been included consistent with Kerman. But at least from our
- 2 perspective we don't consider Kerman to prohibit nominal
- 3 damages in a scenario like this. We read it a bit more
- 4 nuanced. We do think it is okay to have it remain.
- 5 THE COURT: Do you have a citation, we'll look at
- 6 it?
- 7 MR. LUMER: Kerman v. City of New York 374 F.3d, 93,
- 8 the pin cite is 124.
- 9 THE COURT: What would you prefer?
- 10 MR. LUMER: Just that there is no reference to
- 11 nominal damages. If they find liability, then some amount of
- 12 compensatory damages is required. If they don't find
- 13 liability, well, obviously nothing is required.
- 14 THE COURT: We'll take a look. Thank you.
- MR. FRANCOLLA: We had no objections to this page.
- 16 I think I just noticed a couple of tense issues under the
- 17 paragraph under A.
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 MR. FRANCOLLA: In the first line. It's as
- 20 plaintiffs are as opposed to plaintiff is.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. FRANCOLLA: I think the same issue in the third
- 23 line into the fourth.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. That's it?
- MR. FRANCOLLA: That's it.